- 1 2
- 3
- 4 5
- 6 7

8

- 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- 2. Creditor filed a Proof of Claim in the instant case on April 10, 2023. See Claims Register, Claim No. 55-1. The Proof of Claim provides for a secured claim in the amount of \$165,647.56, including \$9,523.77 in pre-petition arrears. *Id.*
- The Debtor filed its Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan") on May 10, 3. 2023. See DE No. 139.
- 4. Creditor's claim is provided for in the Plan. The Plan proposes to transfer to and subject to the terms of the Liquidating Trust. The Subject Property is currently listed for \$410,000.00
 - 5. Creditor now submits its Objection to the Debtor's Plan.

ARGUMENT II.

A. Creditor does not Consent to the Proposed Plan Treatment

Debtor's Plan as proposed indicated that the Subject Property shall be transferred to and subject to the terms of the Liquidating Trust, and that the Creditor will be paid pursuant to the Liquidating Trust. Prior to the Plan been filed, Creditor had filed a Motion for Relief from Stay on May 3, 2023. After Creditor's Motion for Relief from Stay was filed, Debtor had indicated that it has no way of making post-petition payments and the Subject Property was been sold and that Debtor need 90 days to complete the sale. Creditor was not able to agree to the additional time without any adequate protection payment and the court ultimately denied Creditor's Motion for Relief from Stay on July 27, 2023 due to sufficient equity in the Subject Property.

It is now 2 months after the court's denial of Creditors Motion for Relief from Stay, and 9 months after this instant bankruptcy case was filed, the Subject Property is still not sold and Creditor still has not received any on-going post-petition payments related to the Subject Property. Creditor objects to the Plan as proposed because it fails to provide a deadline for when the Subject Property will be sold, and the Plan fails to provide for any post-petition payments to Creditor pending the sale of the Subject Property. Creditor continues to incur additional cost associated with the Subject Property, and has not been provided with any reassurance that the Subject